

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/830,193 | IYA ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ehud Gartenberg | 3746 | |

All Participants:

(1) Ehud Gartenberg.

(2) Guy Gosnell.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 August 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

All canceled and amended claims

Prior art documents discussed:

All documents made of record in this Office Action and the AIAA paper filed by the Applicants

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreement was reached on the Examiner's Amendment that defined the claimed invention over the prior art of record.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)